

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,548	08/05/2003	Susan R. Norris	MONS:059US	1244
46795	7590 09/19/2006		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 600 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 2400			BUI, PHUONG T	
AUSTIN, TX 78745			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/634,548	NORRIS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Phuong T. Bui	1638		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 July This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disnositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) 1,4-24 and 30-40 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,9,13-16,18-23,30-3 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-7,10-12,17,24 and 37 is/are reject Claim(s) 39 and 40 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>6 and 38</u> is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.		
Application	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment	• •	_			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6/12/06,8/21/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Office acknowledges the receipt of Applicant's amendment filed July 11, 2006. Claims 1, 4-24 and 30-40 are pending. Claims 1, 4-7, 10-12, 17, 24, 37 and 39-40 are examined in the instant application. It is noted that claim 17 depends from subsequent claim 37. Claims 8, 9, 13-16, 18-23, 30-36 and 38 are non-elected. All previous rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. This action is made FINAL.

Applicant shall have priority benefit of Provisional Application No. 60/400689 filed August 5, 2002.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Initialed and dated copies of Applicant's IDS form 1449, filed June 12, 2006 and August 21, 2006 are attached to the instant Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

3. Claims 1, 4, 5-7, 10-12, 17, 24 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The metes and bounds of "at least about" are unclear because "at least" sets a minimum limit and "about" would encompass values below the minimum limit. Deleting "about" would obviate this rejection. Clarification and/or correction are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

Art Unit: 1638

4. Claims 1, 4, 5-7, 10-12, 17, 24 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the **written description** requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims reciting less than 100% sequence identity lack adequate written description for reasons of record.

Applicant traverses primarily that the sequences disclosed provide a description of the structural characteristics of phytol kinases and the conserved polypeptide sequences associated with phytol kinase activity.

Applicant's traversal has been considered but is deemed unpersuasive because it is unclear what range "at least about" encompasses, and thus may encompass phytol kinases from undisclosed sources having unknown sequences which cannot be predicted based upon Applicant's disclosure. Deleting "about" would obviate this rejection.

5. Claims 1, 4, 5-7, 10-12, 17, 24 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for SEQ ID NO:1 and a nucleic acid sequence encoding SEQ ID NO:2, does not reasonably provide enablement for nucleic acid sequences having "at least about" 99% sequence identity to these sequences. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. This rejection is maintained for reasons of record.

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant traverses primarily that Applicant does not have to provide working examples of every claimed embodiment and only routine experimentation would be required.

Applicant's traversal has been considered but is deemed unpersuasive because it is unclear what range "at least about" encompasses, and thus may encompass numerous unspecified mutations (additions, deletions, substitutions and combinations thereof) requiring undue experimentation. Deleting "about" would obviate this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 1, 4, 5-7, 10-12, 24 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alexandrov et al. (EP 1033405A2, published September 6, 2000 (Applicant's IDS) and N_Geneseq_8 Database, Accession No. AAC36634, SEQ ID NO:14519, see Result 4 (U)). Alexandrov teaches a sequence obtained from Arabidopsis thaliana having 99.2% sequence identity to a sequence encoding Applicant's SEQ ID NO:2, DNA construct (claim 11), canola plant (*Brassica*, p. 329, In. 50), and seed (p. 329, Ins. 56-57) containing said sequence. Even though the prior art does not specifically refer to its sequence as a phytol kinase, it appears to be a phytol kinase because amended claim 1 of the instant application claims a sequence having at least about 99% sequence identity with SEQ ID NO:2 having phytol kinase activity. Accordingly, Alexandrov anticipated the claimed invention.

Remarks

7. Claims 39-40 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Art Unit: 1638

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong T. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-0793.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,548

Art Unit: 1638

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong T. Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit 1638

09/11/06